

## On $W$ - $S$ -permutable Subgroups of Finite Groups\*

JINXIN GAO – XIUYUN GUO\*\*

**ABSTRACT** – A subgroup  $H$  of a finite group  $G$  is said to be  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$  if there is a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HK$  and  $H \cap K$  is a nearly  $S$ -permutable subgroup of  $G$ . In this article, we analyse the structure of a finite group  $G$  by using the properties of  $W$ - $S$ -permutable subgroups and obtain some new characterizations of finite  $p$ -nilpotent groups and finite supersolvable groups. Some known results are generalized.

**MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION** (2010). 20D15, 20D25, 20D30.

**KEYWORDS.**  $W$ - $S$ -permutable subgroup;  $p$ -nilpotent group; maximal subgroup; minimal subgroup; saturated formation.

### 1. Introduction

All groups considered in this paper are finite.

Recall that two subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  of a group  $G$  are said to permute if  $AB = BA$ , i.e.  $AB$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is called  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$  if  $H$  permutes with every Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  for all  $p \in \pi$ , where  $\pi$  is a set of primes [11]. A subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  is said to be  $S$ -permutable ( $S$ -quasinormal) in  $G$  if  $T$  is  $\pi(G)$ -quasinormal in  $G$ , where  $\pi(G)$  denote a set of primes dividing  $|G|$ . The relationship between the structure of a group  $G$  and its  $S$ -permutable subgroups has been extensively studied by many authors (for example, see [4],[5],[12],[17]). On the other hand, a subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is  $C$ -supplemented in  $G$  if there exists a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HK$  and  $H \cap K \leq H_G$ ,

---

\*The research of the work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(11371237) and a grant of “The First-Class Discipline of Universities in Shanghai”.

\*\*Corresponding author.

Jinxin Gao, Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, 200444, Shanghai, P. R. China  
E-mail: jxgao@shpu.edu.cn

Xiuyun Guo, Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, 200444, Shanghai, P. R. China  
E-mail: xyguo@staff.shu.edu.cn

where  $H_G$  is the core of  $H$  in  $G$  [6]. A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is said to be weakly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$  if there is a subnormal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HT$  and  $H \cap T \leq H_{sG}$ , where  $H_{sG}$  is the subgroup of  $H$  generated by all those subgroups of  $H$  which are  $S$ -permutable in  $G$  [14]. Recently, Khaled A. Al-Sharo introduced the concept of nearly  $S$ -permutable subgroups and obtained many interesting results[1]. A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is said to be nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$  if the normalizer  $N_K(H)$  contains some Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $K$  for every subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  containing  $H$  and for every prime  $p$  with  $(p, |H|) = 1$ . As inspired by the above research, it is good for us to give the following definition:

DEFINITION 1.1. A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is said to be  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$  if there is a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HK$  and  $H \cap K$  is a nearly  $S$ -permutable subgroup of  $G$ .

REMARK 1.2. It is clear that  $C$ -supplemented subgroups and nearly  $S$ -permutable subgroups are  $W$ - $S$ -permutable subgroups. However, the converses do not hold in general, for example:

(1) Let  $G = S_4$ , the symmetric group of degree 4. Take  $H = \langle (12) \rangle$ . Then it is easy to see  $H$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . But  $H$  is not nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$  since  $N_{S_3}(\langle (12) \rangle)$  does not contain any Sylow 3-subgroup of  $S_3$ .

(2) Let  $P = \langle x, y | x^{16} = y^4 = 1, x^y = x^3 \rangle$ . Then it is clear that  $\Phi(P) = \langle x^2 \rangle \times \langle y^2 \rangle$  and  $\langle y^2 \rangle$  is  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ , and so  $\langle y^2 \rangle$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . But  $\langle y^2 \rangle$  is not  $C$ -supplemented in  $G$ .

In the present paper, we first give some properties of  $W$ - $S$ -permutable subgroups, and then we try to investigate the structure of groups. In fact, some new conditions for a group to be  $p$ -nilpotent or supersolvable are given by using the assumption that some kinds of subgroups of prime power order are  $W$ - $S$ -permutable, and many known results are generalized.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we will list some basic or known results which are useful for us in the paper.

First we recall that a class  $\mathfrak{F}$  of groups is a formation if  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ , then  $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$ , and if  $G/N_i \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $G/N_1 \cap N_2 \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Furthermore, a formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be a saturated formation if  $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathfrak{F}$  implies  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ , where  $\Phi(G)$  is the Frattini subgroup of  $G$ . In this paper,  $U$  denotes the class of all supersolvable groups. It is well-known that  $U$  is a saturated formation.

LEMMA 2.1 (Lemma 2.2, [1]). *Let  $H$  be a nearly  $S$ -permutable subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then*

- (1)  $HN$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ ;
- (2) If  $H$  is a group of prime power order, then  $H \cap N$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ ;

(3) If  $H$  is a group of prime power order, then  $HN/N$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G/N$ ;

(4) If  $|H| = p^n$  for some prime  $p$ , then  $H \leq O_p(G)$ .

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose that  $V$  is a  $W$ - $S$ -permutable subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then

(1)  $V$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $K$  whenever  $V \leq K \leq G$ ;

(2) Suppose that  $V$  is a  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$ . If  $N \leq V$ , then  $V/N$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G/N$ ;

(3) Suppose that  $V$  is a  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$  and  $N$  is  $p'$ -subgroup, then  $VN/N$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G/N$ .

PROOF. By the hypotheses, there is a subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = TV$  and  $T \cap V$  is a nearly  $S$ -permutable subgroup of  $G$ . It follows from that  $K = V(K \cap T)$  and  $V \cap (K \cap T) = (V \cap T) \leq K$ . Obviously,  $V \cap T$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $K$ . Hence,  $V$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $K$  and (1) is true.

Also we have  $G/N = (V/N)(TN/N)$  and  $(V/N) \cap (TN/N) = (V \cap TN)/N = (V \cap T)N/N$ . By Lemma 2.1(3),  $(V \cap T)N/N$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G/N$ . Hence,  $V/N$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G/N$  and (2) is true.

It is clear that  $N \leq T$ ,  $G/N = (VN/N)(T/N)$  and  $(VN/N) \cap (T/N) = (VN \cap T)/N = (V \cap T)N/N$ . By Lemma 2.1(3),  $(V \cap T)N/N$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G/N$ . Hence,  $VN/N$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G/N$  and (3) is true.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.3. Suppose that  $G$  is a group which is not  $p$ -nilpotent but whose proper subgroups are all  $p$ -nilpotent for some prime  $p$ . Then

(1)  $G$  has a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  and  $G = P \rtimes Q$ , where  $Q$  is non-normal cyclic Sylow  $q$ -subgroup for some prime  $q \neq p$ ;

(2) the exponent of  $P$  is 2 or 4 if  $p = 2$ ; the exponent of  $P$  is  $p$  if  $p \neq 2$ ;

(3)  $P/\Phi(P)$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G/\Phi(P)$ ;

(4)  $\Phi(P) = Z_\infty(G) \cap P$ .

PROOF. For (1)–(3) see [10, III, Satz 5.2 and IV, Satz 5.4].

(4) According to  $Z_\infty(G) \cap P \trianglelefteq G$  and (3), we have  $P \cap Z_\infty(G) \leq \Phi(P)$ . On the other hand,  $\Phi(P) \leq Z(G)$ . So (4) holds.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.4 (A, 1.2, [7]). Let  $T$ ,  $V$  and  $W$  are subgroups of a group  $G$ . Then the following are equivalent:

(1)  $T \cap VW = (T \cap V)(T \cap W)$ ;

(2)  $VT \cap WT = (V \cap W)T$ .

LEMMA 2.5 (Lemma 2.6, [13]). Let  $G$  be a group. Assume that  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  ( $N \neq 1$ ) and  $N \cap \Phi(G) = 1$ , then the Fitting subgroup  $F(N)$  of  $N$  is the direct product of minimal normal subgroups of  $G$  which are contained in  $F(N)$ .

LEMMA 2.6 (Corollary 2, [2]). *Let  $P$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of a group  $G$ . If  $P$  has no section isomorphic to  $Q_8$  and  $\Omega_1(P) \leq Z(G)$ , then  $G$  is 2-nilpotent, where  $Q_8$  is the quaternion group of order 8.*

LEMMA 2.7 (Theorem A, [9]). *Suppose that a group  $G$  has a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup, where  $\pi$  is a set of primes not containing 2. Then all Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups of  $G$  are conjugate.*

LEMMA 2.8 (Lemma 2.16, [14]). *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation containing  $U$ , let  $G$  be a group with a normal subgroup  $H$  such that  $G/H \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If  $H$  is cyclic, then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .*

LEMMA 2.9 (Lemma 2.8, [16]). *Let  $M$  be a maximal subgroup of a group  $G$  and  $P$  be a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G = PM$ , where  $p$  is a prime. Then  $P \cap M$  is normal in  $G$ .*

LEMMA 2.10 (Theorem 3.1, [16]). *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation containing  $U$  and  $G$  a group with a solvable normal subgroup  $H$  such that  $G/H \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If for every maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$ , either  $F(H) \leq M$  or  $F(H) \cap M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $F(H)$ , then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .*

LEMMA 2.11. *Let  $p$  be the smallest prime divisor of the order of a group  $G$ . If  $G$  has no section isomorphic to  $Q_8$  and every subgroup of  $G$  with order  $p$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

PROOF. Suppose that the result is false and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. By Lemma 2.2 (1), it is easy to see that  $G$  is a minimal non- $p$ -nilpotent group. By Lemma 2.3,  $G$  has a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $G_p$  such that  $G = G_p \rtimes G_q$  for a cyclic Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $G_q$  ( $q > p$ ). Suppose that every subgroup of  $G_p$  with order  $p$  is normal in  $G$ . Then  $\Omega_1(G) \leq Z(G)$ . If  $p \neq 2$ , then, by [10, IV, Satz 5.5(a)],  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. If  $p = 2$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma 2.6, again a contradiction. Therefore there exists some minimal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $H$  is not normal in  $G$ . So  $G_p$  is non-abelian and  $H \not\leq \Phi(G_p)$ . By the hypotheses, there is a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HK$  and  $H \cap K$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . If  $H \cap K = H$ , then  $H$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . By Lemma 2.1(3),  $H\Phi(G_p)/\Phi(G_p)$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G/\Phi(G_p)$ . Then there exists some Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $Q\Phi(G_p)/\Phi(G_p)$  of  $G/\Phi(G_p)$  such that  $Q\Phi(G_p)/\Phi(G_p) \leq N_{G/\Phi(G_p)}(H\Phi(G_p)/\Phi(G_p))$ . Since  $G_p/\Phi(G_p)$  is abelian,  $H\Phi(G_p)/\Phi(G_p) \trianglelefteq G/\Phi(G_p)$ . By Lemma 2.3(3),  $H\Phi(G_p)/\Phi(G_p) = G_p/\Phi(G_p)$  is a cyclic group. Burnside's Theorem [10, IV, Satz 2.6] implies that  $G/\Phi(G_p)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by [10, VI, Hilfssatz 6.3], a contradiction. If  $H \cap K = 1$ , then  $K \trianglelefteq G$ . The choice of  $G$  implies that  $K$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and therefore  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. The proof of the lemma is complete.  $\square$

### 3. Main Results

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -group of a group  $G$ , where  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of the order of  $G$ . If every cyclic subgroup  $H$  of  $P$  with prime order or order 4 ( $P$  is non-abelian 2-group and  $H \not\subseteq Z_\infty(G)$ ) either is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable or has a supersolvable supplement in  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $G$  is not  $p$ -nilpotent. Then  $G$  has a minimal non- $p$ -nilpotent subgroup  $L$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $L = L_p \rtimes L_q$ , where  $L_p$  is a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $L$  and  $L_q$  is a cyclic Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $L$  for some prime  $p \neq q$ . We may assume that  $L_p \leq P$ . Let  $H = \langle x \rangle$ ,  $x \in L_p \setminus \Phi(L_p)$ . Then  $|H| = p$  or 4 by Lemma 2.3(2). If  $H \subseteq Z_\infty(G) \cap L = Z_\infty(L)$ , then  $\Phi(L_p) \neq L_p \cap Z_\infty(L)$ , which contradicts Lemma 2.3(4). Suppose that  $H$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$ , then  $H$  is also  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $L$  by Lemma 2.2(1). Let  $T$  be a subgroup of  $L$  such that  $L = TH$  and  $H \cap T$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $L$ . If  $|L : T| = 4$ , then  $\langle x^2 \rangle T \trianglelefteq L$ , and so  $L_q \trianglelefteq L$ , a contradiction. If  $|L : T| = p$ , we also get  $L_q \trianglelefteq L$ , the same contradiction. Therefore  $L = T$  and  $H$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $L$ . By Lemma 2.1(3),  $H\Phi(L_p)/\Phi(L_p)$  is also nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $L/\Phi(L_p)$ . Then there exists some Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $L$  such that  $Q\Phi(L_p)/\Phi(L_p) \subseteq N_{L/\Phi(L_p)}(H\Phi(L_p)/\Phi(L_p))$ . Hence  $H\Phi(L_p)/\Phi(L_p) \trianglelefteq L/\Phi(L_p)$ . Since  $L_p/\Phi(L_p)$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $L/\Phi(L_p)$ ,  $L_p = H\Phi(L_p) = H$ . In view of the hypotheses and Burnside's Theorem [10, IV, Satz 2.6],  $L$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. If  $H$  has a supersolvable supplement  $K$  in  $G$ , then  $G = HK$  and  $L = H(L \cap K)$ . Since  $L_p/\Phi(L_p)$  is abelian,  $(L_p \cap K)\Phi(L_p)/\Phi(L_p) \trianglelefteq L/\Phi(L_p)$ . By Lemma 2.3(3),  $(L_p \cap K)\Phi(L_p)/\Phi(L_p) = L_p/\Phi(L_p)$  or 1. If  $(L_p \cap K)\Phi(L_p)/\Phi(L_p) = 1$ , then  $L_p = H$ . Again applying Burnside's Theorem [10, IV, Satz 2.6], then  $L$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. Thus  $L_p \subseteq K$ , and so  $L \subseteq K$ . Since  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of  $|K|$ ,  $K$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $L$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**REMARK 3.2.** In theorem 3.1, the hypotheses that subgroups of order 4 are  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$  if  $P$  is non-abelian 2-group and  $H \not\subseteq Z_\infty(G)$  could not be removed. For example, let  $G = L \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$ , where  $L = Q_8$  is a quaternion group and  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $L$  with order 3. Then  $G$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $H$  of order 2. Evidently,  $H$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . But  $G$  is non- $p$ -nilpotent.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -group of a group  $G$ , where  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of the order of  $G$ . If every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that theorem is false and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. Then

- (1)  $G$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $1 \neq N$  such that  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Moreover,  $\Phi(G) = 1$ .

Let  $1 \neq N$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Consider the factor group  $G/N$ . If  $P \subseteq N$ , then it is obvious that  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Suppose that  $P \not\subseteq N$ . Let  $L/N$  be a maximal subgroup of  $PN/N$ . Then there exists a maximal subgroup  $P_1$  of  $P$  such that  $L = NP_1$ . By the hypotheses,  $G$  has a subgroup  $T$  such that  $G = TP_1$  and  $P_1 \cap T$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . We have  $G/N = (TN/N)(L/N) = (TN/N)(P_1N/N)$ . Since  $(|N : N \cap P_1|, |N : N \cap T|) = 1$ ,  $(N \cap P_1)(N \cap T) = N = N \cap TP_1$ . By Lemma 2.4,  $P_1N \cap TN = (P_1 \cap T)N$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1(3) that  $(TN/N) \cap (P_1N/N) = (P_1 \cap T)N/N$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G/N$ . Therefore, the theorem is true for  $G/N$ . The minimality of  $G$  implies that  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Since the class of all  $p$ -nilpotent groups is a saturated formation, we may assume that  $N$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\Phi(G) = 1$ .

(2)  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ .

Assume that  $O_{p'}(G) > 1$ . Then  $N \leq O_{p'}(G)$  by (1). Since  $G/O_{p'}(G) \simeq (G/N)/(O_{p'}(G)/N)$ , it follows that  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction.

(3)  $O_p(G) = 1$ .

If  $O_p(G) > 1$ , then, by (1),  $N \leq O_p(G)$  and  $\Phi(O_p(G)) \leq \Phi(G) = 1$ . Therefore  $G$  has a maximal subgroup  $M$  such that  $G = MN$  and  $M \cap N = 1$ . Since  $O_p(G) \cap M$  is normalized by  $N$  and  $M$ , the uniqueness of  $N$  yields  $N = O_p(G)$ . Pick some maximal subgroup  $P_1$  of  $P$  such that  $P \cap M \leq P_1$ . Then  $P = NP_1$ . By the hypotheses, there exists a subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = TP_1$  and  $P_1 \cap T$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . Suppose that  $P_1 \cap T \neq 1$ . According to the nearly  $S$ -permutability of  $P_1 \cap T$  and the minimality of  $N$ , we have  $N \leq (P_1 \cap T)^G = (P_1 \cap T)^{\langle Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_s \rangle P} = (P_1 \cap T)^P \leq P_1^P = P_1$ , where  $Q_i$  is some Sylow  $q_i$ -subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $N_G(P_1 \cap T)$  with  $p \neq q_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Thus  $P = P_1N = P_1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $P_1 \cap T = 1$ . This shows that the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $T$  is cyclic. By Burnside's Theorem [10, IV, Satz 2.6],  $T$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Let  $T_{p'}$  be the normal complement of  $T$ . Then  $G = P_1T = P_1N_G(T_{p'})$ . By (1),  $M \simeq G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Let  $M_{p'}$  be the normal complement of  $M$ . By (2) and the maximality of  $M$ ,  $N_G(M_{p'}) = M$ . By Lemma 2.7, there exists an element  $x \in P_1$  such that  $T_{p'}^x = M_{p'}$ . Then  $G = (P_1N_G(T_{p'}))^x = P_1N_G(T_{p'}^x) = P_1N_G(M_{p'})$ . Thus  $P = P \cap G = P \cap P_1N_G(M_{p'}) = P_1(P \cap N_G(M_{p'})) = P_1(P \cap M) = P_1$ , a contradiction and so (3) holds.

The final contradiction.

Let  $P_1$  be a maximal subgroup of  $P$ . By the hypotheses, there exists a subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = TP_1$  and  $P_1 \cap T$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . By (3),  $(P_1 \cap T)^G = (P_1 \cap T)^{\langle Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_s \rangle P} = (P_1 \cap T)^P = 1$ , where  $Q_i$  is some Sylow  $q_i$ -subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $N_G(P_1 \cap T)$  and  $p \neq q_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . So  $P_1 \cap T = 1$ . This implies that the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $T$  is cyclic. By Burnside's Theorem [10, IV, Satz 2.6],  $T$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Let  $T_{p'}$  be the normal complement of  $T$ . Then  $G = P_1T = P_1N_G(T_{p'})$ . In view of (2),  $P \cap N_G(T_{p'})$  is a proper subgroup of  $P$ . Consequently, there exists another maximal subgroup  $P_2$  of  $P$  such that  $P \cap N_G(T_{p'}) \leq P_2$ . By the hypotheses, there exists a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HP_2$  and  $P_2 \cap H$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . By the above proof, we can get  $P_2 \cap H = 1$  and  $H$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Let  $H_{p'}$  be the normal  $p$ -complement

of  $H$ . Then  $G = P_2 N_G(H_{p'})$ . By Lemma 2.7, there exists  $g \in P_2$  such that  $(H_{p'})^g = T_{p'}$ . Now,  $G = (P_2 N_G(H_{p'}))^g = (P_2)^g (N_G(H_{p'}))^g = P_2 N_G(T_{p'})$ . Then  $P = P \cap P_2 N_G(T_{p'}) = P_2 (P \cap N_G(T_{p'})) = P_2$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

REMARK 3.4. In Theorem 3.3, the assumption that  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of the order of a group  $G$  is essential, for example, let  $G = \langle a, b \mid a^9 = b^2 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$ . Clearly, every maximal subgroup of Sylow 3-subgroup of  $G$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . But  $G$  is not 3-nilpotent.

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let  $p$  be the smallest prime factor of the order of a group  $G$  and  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. If  $N$  has a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  such that every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

PROOF. By Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 2.2,  $N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Let  $N_{p'}$  be the normal  $p'$ -complement of  $N$ . Then  $N_{p'} \trianglelefteq G$ . If  $N_{p'} \neq 1$ , then, by Lemma 2.2,  $G/N_{p'}$  satisfies the hypotheses of the corollary. Hence  $G/N_{p'}$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by the induction on  $|G|$ , and so  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Suppose that  $N_{p'} = 1$ . Then  $N$  is  $p$ -group. Let  $L/N$  be the normal Hall  $p'$ -complement of  $G/N$ . By Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem, there is a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup  $L_{p'}$  of  $L$  such that  $L = N \rtimes L_{p'}$ . Then  $L$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.3. This implies that  $L_{p'}$  is normal  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.  $\square$

THEOREM 3.6. *Let  $G$  be a group which has no section isomorphic to  $A_4$  or  $Q_8$  and let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , where  $p$  is the smallest prime divisor of  $|G|$ . Suppose that  $N_G(P)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and there exists a positive integer  $m$  with  $1 < p^m < |P|$  such that all subgroups  $H$  of  $P$  with order  $p^m$  are  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

PROOF. Suppose that theorem is false and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. Then

$$(1) \quad O_{p'}(G) = 1.$$

Suppose that  $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$ . Let  $PO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G/O_{p'}(G)$ . By Lemma 2.2(3), every subgroup of  $PO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$  with order  $p^m$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G/O_{p'}(G)$ . Clearly,  $N_{G/O_{p'}(G)}(PO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)) = N_G(P)O_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and  $G/O_{p'}(G)$  has no section isomorphic to  $A_4$  or  $Q_8$ . Hence  $G/O_{p'}(G)$  satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. By the minimality of  $G$ ,  $G/O_{p'}(G)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction.

$$(2) \quad m > 1 \text{ and } |P| \neq p^{m+1}.$$

Assume that  $m = 1$ . Then, by Lemma 2.11,  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. If  $|P| = p^{m+1}$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Theorem 3.3, again a contradiction.

$$(3) \quad H \text{ is } p\text{-nilpotent for every subgroup } H \text{ of } G \text{ such that } P \leq H < G.$$

By Lemma 2.2(1), every subgroup of  $P$  with order  $p^m$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $H$ . Obviously,  $N_H(P)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and  $H$  has no section isomorphic to  $A_4$  or  $Q_8$ . Consequently,  $H$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by the choice of  $G$ .

(4)  $O_p(G) \neq 1$ ,  $G/O_p(G)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and  $G$  is solvable.

Suppose that  $O_p(G) = 1$ . Obviously,  $N_G(J(P)) < G$  and  $C_G(Z(P)) < G$ . Since  $P \leq N_G(J(P))$  and  $P \leq C_G(Z(P))$ ,  $N_G(J(P))$  and  $C_G(Z(P))$  are  $p$ -nilpotent by (3). It follows from Glauberman-Thompson Theorem [8] that  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. Hence  $O_p(G) \neq 1$ . Let  $\bar{G} = G/O_p(G)$ ,  $\bar{P} = P/O_p(G)$ ,  $N_{\bar{G}}(J(\bar{P})) = L_1/O_p(G)$ ,  $C_{\bar{G}}(Z(\bar{P})) = L_2/O_p(G)$ . Then  $P \leq L_1 < G$  and  $P \leq L_2 < G$ . By (3),  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are  $p$ -nilpotent. Again applying Glauberman-Thompson Theorem [8],  $G/O_p(G)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. In view of Feit-Thompson Theorem on groups of odd order,  $G$  is solvable.

(5) Let  $N$  be a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $1 < |N| < p^m$ . Then  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.

By Lemma 2.2(2), every subgroup of  $P/N$  with order  $p^m/|N|$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G/N$ . Clearly,  $N_{G/N}(P/N) = N_G(P)/N$  and  $G/N$  has no section isomorphic to  $A_4$  or  $Q_8$ . Consequently  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by the choice of  $G$ .

(6) If  $1 < |\Phi(G)| \neq p^m$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.

Assume that  $1 < |\Phi(G)| < p^m$ . Then, by (5),  $G/\Phi(G)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by [10, VI, Hilfssatz 6.3], a contradiction. If  $|\Phi(G)| > p^m$ , let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $\Phi(G)$  of order  $p^m$  and  $H \trianglelefteq P$ . By the hypotheses, there exists a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HK$  and  $H \cap K$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . Since  $H \leq \Phi(G)$ ,  $G = HK = K$  and so  $H$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . Therefore, for every prime divisor  $q$  of the order of  $G$  with  $q \neq p$ , there exists some Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$  such that  $Q \leq N_G(H)$ . Since  $H \trianglelefteq P$ ,  $H \trianglelefteq G$ . By (5) and Burnside's Theorem [10, IV, Satz 2.6],  $H$  is non-cyclic. Now take a subgroup  $L$  of  $\Phi(G)$  of order  $p^{m+1}$  such that  $H \leq L$ . Since  $H$  is non-cyclic, so is  $L$ . Hence  $L$  contains a subgroup  $H_2$  of order  $p^m$  such that  $H \neq H_2$ . As above,  $H_2$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . Hence, for every prime factor  $q$  of the order of  $G$  with  $q \neq p$ , there exists some Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $Q_1$  of  $G$  such that  $Q_1 \leq N_G(H_2)$ . Then  $LQ_1 = \langle H, H_2 \rangle Q_1 = Q_1 \langle H, H_2 \rangle = Q_1 L$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . By Theorem 3.3,  $LQ_1$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $Q_1 \leq N_G(L)$ . Since  $H \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $Q_1 \leq C_G(H)$ . Then  $|G/C_G(H)| = p^\alpha$  for some integer  $\alpha$ . It follows from [18, Appendix C, Theorem 6.3] that  $H \leq Z_\infty(G)$ , and so  $G$  contains a cyclic normal subgroup  $T$  of order  $p$ . By (2), (5) and Burnside's Theorem [10, IV, Satz 2.6], we have  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction.

(7)  $O_p(G)$  is a maximal subgroup of  $P$ .

Assume that  $O_p(G)$  is not maximal in  $P$ . Since  $G$  is solvable by (4),  $G$  contains a normal maximal subgroup of  $M$  such that  $|G/M| = r$ , where  $r$  is a prime divisor of the order of  $G$ . Pick a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $L$  of  $M$  such that  $L \leq P$ . If  $r = p$ , then we have  $P \leq N_G(L) < G$ . By (3),  $N_G(L)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $N_M(L)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Then, by Lemma 2.2(1), every subgroup of  $L$  with order  $p^m$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $M$ . So we have  $M$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by the minimality of  $G$ . Hence  $O_{p'}(M) \leq O_{p'}(G)$ , which is impossible by (1). If  $r \neq p$ , then  $P \leq M$ , and so  $M$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by (3). The same contradiction is obtained. So we have (7).

(8) If  $|\Phi(G)| = p^m$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.

Suppose that  $\Phi(G)$  is cyclic. Then  $\Phi(G)$  contains a normal subgroup  $L$  of  $G$  with order  $p$ . By (2), (5) and Burnside's Theorem [10, IV, Satz 2.6],  $G$  is  $p$ -



nilpotent, which contradicts the choice of  $G$ . Hence  $\Phi(G)$  is non-cyclic. Now let  $T/\Phi(G)$  be any subgroup of  $O_p(G)/\Phi(G)$  with order  $p$ . Since  $\Phi(G)$  is non-cyclic,  $T$  is non-cyclic. So  $T$  has a maximal subgroup  $F$  with  $F \neq \Phi(G)$ . Then we have  $T = F\Phi(G)$ . By the hypotheses, there exists a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $G = KF$  and  $K \cap F$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . If  $K = G$ , then  $F$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . By Lemma 2.1(3),  $F\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G/\Phi(G)$ . If  $K \neq G$ , then  $G/\Phi(G) = (K\Phi(G)/\Phi(G))(F\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)) = (K\Phi(G)/\Phi(G))(T/\Phi(G))$ . Obviously,  $(K\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)) \cap (T/\Phi(G)) = 1$  and so  $T/\Phi(G)$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G/\Phi(G)$ . Thus we get that any subgroup  $T/\Phi(G)$  of  $O_p(G)/\Phi(G)$  with order  $p$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G/\Phi(G)$ . By Lemma 2.2(1),  $T/\Phi(G)$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $O_p(G)K/\Phi(G)$ , where  $K$  is a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . By Theorem 2.11,  $O_p(G)K/\Phi(G)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $O_p(G)K$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by [10, VI, Hilfssatz 6.3]. By (7),  $O_p(G)K \trianglelefteq G$  and so  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction.

(9) If  $N$  is a minimal normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $|N| \leq p^m$ .

Suppose that  $|N| > p^m$ . Take a subgroup  $H$  of  $N$  such that  $|H| = p^m$  and  $H \trianglelefteq P$ . By the hypotheses, there exists a subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $G = HK$  and  $H \cap K$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . Since  $N$  is abelian,  $N \cap K \trianglelefteq G$ . By the minimality of  $N$ , we have  $N \cap K = 1$  or  $N \cap K = N$ . If  $N \cap K = 1$ , then  $N = N \cap G = H(N \cap K) = H$ , a contradiction. Thus  $N \cap K = N$ . It follows from  $G = HK$  and  $H \leq N \leq K$  that  $K = G$ . This implies that  $H$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . Then, for every prime factor  $q$  of  $|G|$  with  $q \neq p$ , there exists some Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$  such that  $Q \leq N_G(H)$ . Since  $H \trianglelefteq P$ ,  $H \trianglelefteq G$ , which contradicts the minimality of  $N$ .

(10) If  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are two distinct minimal normal  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ , then  $|H_1| < p^m$  or  $|H_2| < p^m$ .

If  $|H_1| \geq p^m$  and  $|H_2| \geq p^m$ , then, by (9),  $|H_1| = p^m$  and  $|H_2| = p^m$ . In view of (6) and (8), we have  $\Phi(G) = 1$ . Thus  $G$  contains a maximal subgroup  $M$  such that  $G = MH_1$  and  $M \cap H_1 = 1$ . Obviously,  $P \cap M$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $M$  and let  $\bar{G} = G/H_1$ ,  $\bar{P} = P/H_1$ . Then  $N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{P}) = \overline{N_G(P)}$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $N_M(P \cap M)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. By Lemma 2.2(1), every subgroup of  $P \cap M$  with order  $p^m$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $M$ . Furthermore,  $M$  has no section isomorphic to  $A_4$  or  $Q_8$ . It follows that  $M$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by the minimality of  $G$ , and so  $G/H_1 \simeq M$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. As above, we also have  $G/H_2$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Since  $G = G/H_1 \cap H_2$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $G/H_1 \times G/H_2$ ,  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction.

(11)  $O_p(G)$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Suppose that  $O_p(G)$  is not a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . In view of (6) and (8), we have  $\Phi(G) = 1$ . By Lemma 2.5, we may assume that  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are two distinct minimal normal subgroups of  $G$  contained in  $O_p(G)$ . By (10), if  $|N_1| < p^m$  and  $|N_2| < p^m$ , then  $G/N_1$  and  $G/N_2$  are  $p$ -nilpotent by (5), and so  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. Therefore we may assume that  $|N_1| < p^m$  and  $|N_2| \geq p^m$ . Since  $G/N_1$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by (5),  $G/N_1 = (P/N_1)(T/N_1)$ , where  $T/N_1$  is normal Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G/N_1$ . Then  $P \cap T = N_1$ , and therefore  $N_2 \cap (P \cap T) = N_2 \cap T = 1$  and  $T \leq C_G(N_2)$ . Then, by [18, Appendix C, Theorem 6.3],  $N_2 \leq Z_\infty(G)$ . This implies that  $G$  contains a normal subgroup  $L$  of order  $p$ . By (5),  $G/L$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and so  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction.

The final contradiction.

By (11),  $O_p(G)$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $|O_p(G)| \leq p^m$  by (9). In view of (7),  $|P| \leq p^{m+1}$ , which contradicts (2). The proof is complete.  $\square$

REMARK 3.7. In Theorem 3.6, the assumption that  $G$  has no section isomorphic to  $A_4$  or  $Q_8$  is necessary, for example, let  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $y = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  be three generators of  $G = GL(2, 3)$  and  $x, y, z$  satisfies the following relation:

$$x^8 = y^2 = z^3 = 1, \quad y^{-1}xy = x^3, \quad z^{-1}x^2z = xy, \quad z^{-1}xyz = xyx^2, \quad y^{-1}zy = z^2.$$

Then  $P = \langle x, y \rangle$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$  and  $G'' = \langle x^2, xy \rangle$  is a quaternion group of order 8. We see that  $P = N_G(P)$  and  $SL(2, 3)/Z(G'') \simeq A_4$ . Obviously, all subgroups of  $P$  with order 2 are  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . However,  $G$  is not 2-nilpotent.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation containing  $U$  and let  $E$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$  such that  $G/E \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Suppose that all maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of  $E$  are  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that the theorem is false and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.3,  $E$  is a Sylow tower group of supersolvable type. Let  $Q$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $E$ , where  $q$  is the largest prime divisor of the order of  $E$ . Then we have  $Q \trianglelefteq G$ . Let  $N$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $Q$ . In view of Lemma 2.2, the theorem holds for  $G/N$ . By the choice of  $G$ ,  $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a saturated formation,  $N \not\subseteq \Phi(G)$  and  $N = Q$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Hence there is a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  such that  $G = NM$  and  $N \cap M = 1$ . Let  $M_q$  be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $M$ . Then  $G_q = NM_q$ . Pick a maximal subgroup  $L$  of  $G_q$  such that  $M_q \subseteq L$ . Then  $Q \cap L = Q_1$  is a maximal subgroup of  $Q$ . By the hypotheses, there exists a subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = TQ_1$  and  $T \cap Q_1$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . On the other hand,  $N = N \cap G = N \cap TQ_1 = (N \cap T)Q_1$ . We have  $N \cap T \trianglelefteq G$  since  $N$  is abelian. In view of the minimality of  $N$ ,  $N \cap T = T$  and  $N \subseteq T$ . Consequently,  $G = T$  and  $T \cap Q_1 = Q_1$  is  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . Then, for every prime  $p$  of the order of  $G$  with  $q \neq p$ , the normalizer  $N_G(Q_1)$  contains some Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ . Consequently,  $Q_1 \trianglelefteq G$ . We have  $Q_1 = 1$  by the minimality of  $N$  and so  $N$  is cyclic. By Lemma 2.8,  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ , which contradicts the choice of  $G$ . The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

THEOREM 3.9. *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation containing  $U$  and let  $E$  be a solvable normal subgroup of a group  $G$  such that  $G/E \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Suppose that all maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of  $F(E)$  are  $W$ - $S$ -permutable in  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $M$  be a maximal subgroup of  $G$  not containing  $F(E)$ . We only prove that  $M \cap F(E)$  is a maximal subgroup of  $F(E)$  by Lemma 2.10. Since  $F(E) \not\leq M$ , there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $O_p(E)$  of  $F(E)$  such that  $O_p(E) \not\leq M$  and  $G = MO_p(E)$ . Let  $G_p$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $M_p$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $M$ . Then  $G_p = M_p O_p(E)$ . Pick a maximal subgroup  $L$  of  $G_p$  such that  $M_p \leq L$ . Then  $R = L \cap O_p(E)$  is a maximal subgroup of  $O_p(E)$ , and  $R \cap M = (L \cap O_p(E)) \cap M = O_p(E) \cap M_p = O_p(E) \cap M$ . By Lemma 2.9,  $O_p(E) \cap M \trianglelefteq G$  and so  $O_p(E) \cap M \leq R_G$ . Furthermore,  $O_p(E) \cap M = R_G$ . By the hypotheses, there is a subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  such that  $G = TR$  and  $T \cap R$  is nearly  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ . For some Sylow  $q_i$ -subgroup  $Q_i$  of  $G$  with  $q_i \neq p, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have  $Q_i \leq N_G(T \cap R)$ . We may assume that  $\Phi(O_p(E)) = 1$ . Thus  $O_p(E) \cap T \trianglelefteq G$ . On the other hand,  $T \cap R = T \cap O_p(E) \cap L \trianglelefteq G_p$ . Consequently  $T \cap R \trianglelefteq G$  and  $T \cap R \leq R_G$ . Let  $H = TR_G$ . Then  $H \cap R = R_G$ . Since  $M$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $(O_p(E) \cap H)M = M$  or  $(O_p(E) \cap H)M = G$ . If  $(O_p(E) \cap H)M = M$ , then  $O_p(E) \cap H \leq M \cap O_p(E) = R_G = H \cap R$ . This implies that  $R = O_p(E)$ , a contradiction. Thus we have  $(O_p(E) \cap H)M = G$ . In view of  $O_p(E) \cap M = O_p(E) \cap H \cap M = R_G$ ,  $O_p(E) \leq H$ . Thus  $R = R \cap H = R_G = M \cap O_p(E)$  and so  $|F(E) : F(E) \cap M| = |O_p(E) : O_p(E) \cap M| = p$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. Some applications

In the literature one can find the following special case of our main theorems.

COROLLARY 4.1 (Theorem 3.3, [15]). *Let  $G$  be a group and  $E$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/E$  is supersolvable. If all maximal subgroups of each Sylow subgroup of  $E$  are  $C$ -supplemented in  $G$ , then  $G$  is supersolvable.*

COROLLARY 4.2 (Theorem 4.1, [16]). *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation containing  $U$  and  $G$  a group and  $E$  a solvable normal subgroup such that  $G/E \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If all maximal subgroups of each Sylow subgroup of  $F(E)$  are  $C$ -supplemented in  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .*

COROLLARY 4.3 (Theorem 1.3, [3]). *Let  $G$  be a group and  $E$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  with supersolvable quotient  $G/E$ . Suppose that all maximal subgroups of any Sylow subgroup of  $E$  are  $S$ -permutable in  $G$ , then  $G$  is supersolvable.*

COROLLARY 4.4 (Theorem 1.4, [3]). *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a saturated formation containing  $U$ . Suppose that  $G$  is a solvable group with a normal subgroup  $H$  such that  $G/H \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If all maximal subgroups of all Sylow subgroups of  $F(H)$  are  $S$ -quasinormal in  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .*

**Acknowledgement:** The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions and useful comments contributed to the final version of this article.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Al-Sharo, *On nearly  $S$ -permutable subgroups of finite groups*, Comm. Algebra, **40** (2012), pp. 315–326.
- [2] M. Asaad, *On  $p$ -nilpotence of finite groups*, J. Algebra, **277** (2004), pp. 157–164.
- [3] M. Asaad, *On maximal subgroups of finite group*, Comm. Algebra, **26** (1998), pp. 3647–3652.
- [4] M. Asaad, M. Ramadan, A. Shaalan, *Influence of  $\pi$ -quasinormality on maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of Fitting subgroups of a finite group*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **56** (1991), pp. 521–527.
- [5] A. Ballester-Bolinches, and M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera, *Sufficient conditions for supersolubility of finite groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **127** (1998), pp. 113–118.
- [6] A. Ballester-Bolinches, Y. Wang and X. Guo,  *$C$ -supplemented subgroups of finite groups*, Glasgow Math. J., **42** (2000), pp. 383–389.
- [7] K. Doer and T. Hawkes, *Finite Soluble Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1992.
- [8] G. Glauberman, *Subgroups of finite groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73** (1967), pp. 1–12.
- [9] F. Gross, *Conjugacy of odd order Hall subgroups*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **19** (1987), pp. 311–319.
- [10] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [11] H. O. Kegel, *Sylow-Gruppen and Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen*, Math. Z., **78** (1962), pp. 205–221.
- [12] Y. M. Li and K. T. Peng,  *$\pi$ -quasinormally embedded and  $c$ -supplemented subgroup of finite group*, Front. Math. China, **3** (2008), pp. 511–521.
- [13] Y. M. Li, Y. M. Wang and H. Q. Wei, *The influence of  $\pi$ -quasinormality of some subgroups of a finite group*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **81** (2003), pp. 245–252.
- [14] A. N. Skiba, *On weakly  $S$ -permutable subgroups of finite groups*, J. Algebra, **315** (2007), pp. 192–209.
- [15] Y. Wang, *Finite Groups with some subgroups of Sylow subgroups  $c$ -supplemented*, J. Algebra, **224** (2000), pp. 467–478.
- [16] Y. Wang, H. Wei and Y. Li, *A generalization of a theorem of Kramer and its applications*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **65** (2002), pp. 467–475.
- [17] X. B. Wei, X. Y. Guo, *On finite groups with prime-power order  $S$ -quasinormally embedded subgroups*, Monatsh. Math., **162** (2011), pp. 329–339.
- [18] M. Weinstein, *Between nilpotent and solvable*, Polygonal Publishing House, NJ, US-A, 1982.

Received April 20, 2016; revised August 11, 2016