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ABSTRACT – Let \((R, m)\) be a regular local ring containing a field \(K\). Let \(I\) be a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of height \(g\). If \(\text{char } K = p > 0\) then by a result of Peskine and Szpiro the local cohomology modules \(H^i_I(R)\) vanish for \(i > g\). This result is not true if \(\text{char } K = 0\). However we prove that the Bass numbers of the local cohomology module \(H^g_I(R)\) completely determine whether \(H^i_I(R)\) vanish for \(i > g\). The result of this paper has been proved more generally for Gorenstein local rings by Hellus and Schenzel (2008) (Theorem 3.2). However our result is elementary to prove. In particular we do not use spectral sequences in our proof.
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1. Introduction

The result of this paper has been proved more generally for Gorenstein local rings by Hellus and Schenzel [3, Theorem 3.2]. However our result is elementary to prove. In particular we do not use spectral sequences in our proof.

Let \((R, m)\) be a regular local ring containing a field \(K\). Recall an ideal \(I\) is said to be a Cohen-Macaulay ideal in \(R\) if \(R/I\) is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Motivated by a result of Peskine and Szpiro we make the following:

DEFINITION 1.1. An ideal \(I\) of \(R\) is said to be a Peskine-Szpiro ideal of \(R\) if

(1) \(I\) is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal.

(2) \(H^i_I(R) = 0\) for all \(i \neq \text{height } I\).

(*) Indirizzo dell’A.: Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400 076, India; tputhen@math.iitb.ac.in
Note that as height $I = \text{grade } I$ we have $H^i_I(R) = 0$ for $i < \text{height } I$. Thus the only real condition for a Cohen-Macaulay ideal $I$ to be a Peskine-Szpiro ideal is that $H^i_I(R) = 0$ for $i > \text{height } I$. In their fundamental paper [7, Proposition III.4.1] Peskine and Szpiro proved that if char $K = p > 0$ then for all Cohen-Macaulay ideals $I$ the local cohomology modules $H^i_I(R)$ vanish for $i > \text{height } I$. This result is not true if char $K = 0$, for instance see [2, Example 21.31]. We prove the following surprising result:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular local ring of dimension $d$ containing a field $K$. Let $I$ be a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of height $g$. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $I$ is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal of $R$.

(ii) For any prime ideal $P$ of $R$ containing $I$, the Bass number

$$
\mu_i(P, H^g_I(R)) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } i = \text{height } P - g, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}. 
\end{cases}
$$

Here the $j^{th}$ Bass number of an $R$-module $M$ with respect to a prime ideal $P$ is defined as $\mu_j(P, M) = \dim_{k(P)} \text{Ext}^j_{R_P} (k(P), M_P)$ where $k(P)$ is the residue field of $R_P$. Our result is essentially only an observation.

1.3. We need the following remarkable properties of local cohomology modules over regular local rings containing a field (proved by Huneke and Sharp [4] if char $K = p > 0$ and by Lyubeznik [5] if char $K = 0$). Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a regular ring containing a field $K$ and $I$ is an ideal in $R$. Then the local cohomology modules of $R$ with respect to $I$ have the following properties:

(i) $H^1_{\mathfrak{m}}(H^g_I(R))$ is injective.

(ii) $\text{injdim}_R H^i_I(R) \leq \dim \text{Supp } H^i_I(R)$.

(iii) The set of associated primes of $H^i_I(R)$ is finite.

(iv) All the Bass numbers of $H^i_I(R)$ are finite.

Here $\text{injdim}_R H^i_I(R)$ denotes the injective dimension of $H^i_I(R)$. Also $\text{Supp } M = \{P \mid M_P \neq 0 \text{ and } P \text{ is a prime in } R\}$ is the support of an $R$-module $M$.

2. Permanence properties of Peskine-Szpiro ideals

In this section we prove some permanence properties of Peskine-Szpiro ideals. We also show that if $\dim R - \text{height } I \leq 2$ then a Cohen-Macaulay ideal $I$ is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal.
Proposition 2.1. Let \((R, \mathfrak{m})\) be a regular local ring containing a field \(K\). Let \(I\) be a Peskine-Szpiro ideal of \(R\). Let \(g = \text{height } I\).

1. Let \(P\) be a prime ideal in \(R\) containing \(I\). Then \(I_P\) is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal of \(R_P\).
2. Assume \(g < \dim R\). Let \(x \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2\) be \(R/I\)-regular. Then the ideal \((I + (x))/(x)\) is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal of \(R/(x)\).

Proof. (1) Note \(I_P\) is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of height \(g\) in \(R_P\). Also note that for \(i \neq g\) we have

\[ H^i_{I_P}(R_P) = H^i_J(R)_P = 0. \]

Thus \(I_P\) is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal of \(R_P\).

(2) Note that \(J = (I + (x))/(x)\) is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of height \(g\) in the regular ring \(\bar{R} = R/(x)\). The short exact sequence

\[ 0 \to R \xrightarrow{x} R \to \bar{R} \to 0, \]

induces a long exact sequence

\[ \cdots \to H^i_J(R) \to H^i_J(\bar{R}) \to H^{i+1}_J(R) \to \cdots. \]

Thus \(H^i_J(\bar{R}) = 0\) for \(i > g\). Therefore \(J\) is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal of \(\bar{R}\).

We now show that Cohen-Macaulay ideals of small dimensions are Peskine-Szpiro. This result is already known, however we give a proof due to lack of a suitable reference.

Proposition 2.2. Let \((R, \mathfrak{m})\) be a regular local ring containing a field \(K\). Let \(I\) be a Cohen-Macaulay ideal with \(\dim R - \text{height } I \leq 2\). Then \(I\) is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal.

Proof. We first assume \(\text{char } K = 0\). Let \(\dim R = d\) and \(\text{height } I = g\).

If \(g = d\) then \(I\) is \(\mathfrak{m}\)-primary. By Grothendieck vanishing theorem we have \(H^i_J(R) = 0\) for \(i > d\). So \(I\) is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal.

Now consider the case when \(g = d - 1\). Note \(\dim R/I = 1\). So \(\dim \bar{R}/I\bar{R} = 1\). By Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum theorem, cf. [2, Theorem 14.1], we have that \(H^d_{I\bar{R}}(\bar{R}) = 0\). By faithful flatness we get \(H^d_I(R) = 0\).

Finally we consider the case when \(g = d - 2\). We choose a flat extension \((B, \mathfrak{n})\) of \(R\) with \(\mathfrak{m}B = \mathfrak{n}\), \(B\) complete and \(B/\mathfrak{n}\) algebraically closed. We note that \(B/I\mathfrak{m}\) is Cohen-Macaulay and \(\dim B/I\mathfrak{m} = 2\). As \(B/I\mathfrak{m}\) is Cohen-Macaulay we get that the punctured spectrum \(\text{Spec}^\circ(B/I\mathfrak{m})\) is connected see [2, Proposition 15.7]. So \(H^{d-1}_{I\mathfrak{m}}(B) = 0\) by a result due to Ogus [6, 2.11]. By faithful faithfulness we get \(H^{d-1}_I(R) = 0\). By an argument similar to the previous case we also get \(H^d_I(R) = 0\).

Next we consider the case when \(\text{char } K = p > 0\). The proof in this case follows from [7, Proposition III.4.1].
3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we prove our main result. The following remarks are relevant.

Remark 3.1. (1) Notice for any ideal J of height g we have Ass $H^g_J(R) = \{P \mid P \supseteq J \text{ and } \text{height } P = g\}$. Also for any such prime ideal P we have $\mu_0(P, H^g_J(R)) = 1.$ (2) Let I be a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of height g in a regular local ring. Let P be an ideal of height g + r and containing I. We note that $\dim H^g_I(R)_P = r$. So by 1.3 we get $\text{injdim}_{R_P} H^g_I(R)_P \leq r$. Thus $\mu_i(P, H^g_I(R)) = 0$ for $i > r$.

Let us recall the following result due to Rees, cf. [1, 3.1.16].

3.2. Let S be a commutative ring and let M and N be S-modules. (We note that S need not be Noetherian. Also M, N need not be finitely generated as S-modules.) Assume there exists $x \in S$ such that it is $S \otimes M$-regular and $xN = 0$. Set $T = S/(x)$. Then $\text{Hom}_S(N, M) = 0$ and for $i \geq 1$ we have

$$\text{Ext}^i_S(N, M) \cong \text{Ext}^{i-1}_T(N, M/xM).$$

We now give:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first prove (i) $\implies$ (ii). So I is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal. We prove our result by induction on $d - g$.

If $d - g = 0$ then I is m-primary. So $H^d_I(R) = H^d_m(R) = E_R(R/m)$ the injective hull of the residue field. Clearly $\mu_0(m, H^d_I(R)) = 1$ and $\mu_i(m, H^d_I(R)) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.

Now assume $d - g = 1$. If P is a prime ideal of R containing I with height $P = d - 1$ then by 3.1 we have $\mu_0(P, H^{d-1}_I(R)) = 1$ and $\mu_i(P, H^{d-1}_I(R)) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. We now consider the case when $P = m$. By 3.1 we have $\mu_0(m, H^{d-1}_I(R)) = 0$. Choose $x \in m \setminus m^2$ which is R/I-regular. Set $\overline{R} = R/(x)$, $\overline{m} = m/(x)$ and $J = I\overline{R} = (I + (x))/(x)$. Then J is n-primary. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{x} R \rightarrow \overline{R} \rightarrow 0$ induces the following exact sequence in cohomology

$$0 \rightarrow H^{d-1}_I(R) \xrightarrow{x} H^{d-1}_I(R) \rightarrow H^{d-1}_J(\overline{R}) \rightarrow 0.$$ 

Here we have used that I is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal and J is n-primary. Thus by 3.2 we have for $i \geq 1$,

$$\mu_i(m, H^{d-1}_I(R)) = \mu_{i-1}(\overline{m}, H^{d-1}_J(\overline{R})) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus the result follows in this case.
Now consider the case when $d - g \geq 2$. Let $P$ be a prime ideal in $R$ containing $I$ of height $g + r$. We first consider the case when $P \neq m$. By 2.1 we get that $I_P$ is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal of height $g$ in $R_P$. Also dim $R_P - g < d - g$. So by induction hypothesis we have

$$\mu_i(P, H^g_i(R)) = \mu_i(P_{R_P}, H^g_{iR_P}(R_P)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = r, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We now consider the case when $P = m$. By 3.1 we have $\mu_0(m, H^g_i(R)) = 0$. Choose $x \in m \setminus m^2$ which is $R/I$-regular. Set $\overline{R} = R/(x)$, $n = m/(x)$ and $J = I\overline{R} = (I + (x))/(x)$. Then $J$ is height $g$ Peskine-Szpiro ideal in $\overline{R}$, see 2.1. The exact sequence $0 \to R \to R \to \overline{R} \to 0$ induces the following exact sequence in cohomology

$$0 \to H^g_i(R) \xrightarrow{x} H^g_i(R) \to H^g_j(\overline{R}) \to 0.$$ 

Here we have used that $I$ is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal in $R$ and $J$ is Peskine-Szpiro ideal in $\overline{R}$. Thus by 3.2 we have for $i \geq 1$,

$$\mu_i(m, H^g_i(R)) = \mu_{i-1}(n, H^g_j(\overline{R})) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i - 1 = d - 1 - g, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For the latter equality we have used induction hypothesis on the Peskine-Szpiro ideal $J$ (as $\dim \overline{R} - \text{height } J = d - 1 - g$). We note that $i - 1 = d - 1 - g$ is same as $i = d - g$. Thus we have

$$\mu_i(m, H^g_i(R)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = d - g, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We now prove (ii) $\implies$ (i). By Peskine and Szpiro’s result we may assume $\text{char } K = 0$. We prove the result by induction on $d - g$. If $d - g \leq 2$ then the result holds by Proposition 2.2. So we may assume $d - g \geq 3$. Let $P$ be a prime ideal in $R$ containing $I$ with $P \neq m$. The ideal $I_P$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of height $g$ in $R_P$ satisfying the condition (ii) on Bass numbers of $H^g_{I_P}(R_P)$. As dim $R_P - g < d - g$ we get by our induction hypothesis that $I_P$ is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal in $R_P$. Thus $H^g_{I_P}(R_P) = 0$ for $i > g$. It follows that $\text{Supp } H^g_{I_P}(R) \subseteq \{m\}$ for $i > g$. Let $k = R/m$ and let $E_R(k)$ be the injective hull of $k$ as a $R$-module. Then by 1.3 there exists non-negative integers $r_i$ with

$$H^g_i(R) = E_R(k)^{r_i} \text{ for } i > g.$$  

Choose $x \in m \setminus m^2$ which is $R/I$-regular. Set $\overline{R} = R/(x)$, $n = m/(x)$ and $J = I\overline{R} = (I + (x))/(x)$. Then $J$ is height $g$ Cohen-Macaulay ideal in $\overline{R}$. The exact sequence $0 \to R \to R \to \overline{R} \to 0$ induces the following exact sequence in cohomology

$$0 \to H^g_i(R) \xrightarrow{x} H^g_i(R) \to H^g_j(\overline{R}) \to H^g_{i+1}(R) \xrightarrow{x} H^g_{i+1}(R) \to \cdots$$  

(3.1)
We consider two cases:

Case 1: $H^{g+1}_I(R) \neq 0$.

We note that $\text{Hom}_R(\overline{R}, E_R(k)) = E_{\overline{R}}(k)$. Thus the short exact sequence $0 \to R \xrightarrow{x} R \to \overline{R} \to 0$ induces an exact sequence

(3.3) $0 \to E_{\overline{R}}(k) \to E_R(k) \xrightarrow{\pi} E_R(k) \to 0$.

By (3.1) and (3.3) the exact sequence (3.2) breaks down into two exact sequences

(3.4) $0 \to H^g_I(R) \xrightarrow{\pi} H^g_I(R) \to V \to 0$,

(3.5) $0 \to V \to H^g_J(\overline{R}) \to E_{\overline{R}}(k)^{r_{g+1}} \to 0$.

As $J$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal in $\overline{R}$ with $\dim \overline{R}/J = d - 1 - g \geq 2$ we get by 3.1 that $n \notin \text{Ass}_R H^g_J(\overline{R})$. It follows from (3.5) that $\mu_1(n, V) \geq r_{g+1} > 0$. By (3.4) and 3.2 we get that

$$\mu_2(m, H^g_I(R)) = \mu_1(n, V) > 0.$$ 

So by our hypothesis we get $d - g = 2$. This is a contradiction as we assumed $d - g \geq 3$.

Case 2: $H^{g+1}_I(R) = 0$.

By (3.2) we get a short exact sequence,

$$0 \to H^g_I(R) \xrightarrow{\pi} H^g_I(R) \to H^g_J(\overline{R}) \to 0.$$

Again by 3.2 we get that the Cohen-Macaulay ideal $J$ of $\overline{R}$ satisfies the conditions (ii) of our Theorem. As $\dim \overline{R} - \text{height} J = d - g - 1$ we get by induction hypothesis that $J$ is Peskine-Szpiro ideal in $\overline{R}$. Thus $H^g_J(\overline{R}) = 0$ for $i > g$. Using (3.1) and (3.3) it follows that $H^g_I(R) = 0$ for $i \geq g + 2$. Also by our assumption $H^{g+1}_I(R) = 0$. Thus $I$ is a Peskine-Szpiro ideal of $R$. 
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